

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/780,653	02/09/2001	Pankaj Qasba	640100-407	7921
759	90 03/05/2003			
Raymond J. Lillie CARELLA, BYRNE, BAIN, GILFILLAN CECCHI, STEWART & OLSTEIN 6 Becker Farm Road			EXAMINER	
			WOITACH, JOSEPH T	
Roseland, NJ 07068-1739			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1632	^
			DATE MAILED: 03/05/2003	X

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/780,653

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_

Thiede et al.

Examiner

Joseph Woitach

Art Unit 1632



	rs on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	T TO EXPIRE1 MONTH(S) FROM			
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a).</li> <li>mailing date of this communication.</li> </ul>	In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the			
<ul> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apple.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause.</li> <li>Arry reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of</li> </ul>	y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>Feb 9</u> , 2	2001			
	ction is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex p	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is			
Disposition of Claims				
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>1-8</u>	is/are pending in the application.			
4a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.			
5) Claim(s)				
6) Claim(s)				
	is/are objected to.			
	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on Feb 9, 2001 is/ar	e a) 💢 accepted or b) 🗆 objected to by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11) $\square$ The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.			
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply	to this Office action.			
12) $\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	niner.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a) □ All b) □ Some* c) □ None of:				
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents ha				
<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority of application from the International Burk</li> <li>*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the action for a list of</li></ol>	documents have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).			
<ul> <li>14) ★ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).</li> <li>a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.</li> </ul>				
15) 💢 Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:				

Art Unit: 1632

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This application is a continuation of 09/316,797, filed May 21, 1999, which claims benefit to 60/086,420, filed May 22, 1998 and 60/108,308, filed November 13, 1998.

## Election/Restriction

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-3, drawn to a method of producing megakaryocytes in vitro comprising co-culturing mesenchymal stem cells with CD34+ cells, classified in class 435, subclass 1.1.
- II. Claims 4 and 5, drawn to a method of treating a patient in need of megakaryocytes comprising administering human mesenchymal stem cells to a patient or administering human mesenchymal stem cells and CD34+ cells to a patient, classified in class 424, subclass 93.1.
- III. Claims 6 and 7, drawn to a method of genetically modifying megakaryocytes in culture and genetically modified megakaryocytes, classified in class 435, subclass 455.
- IV. Claim 8, drawn to a composition of genetically modified platlets, classified in class 435, subclass 325.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Art Unit: 1632

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and process of using the product. The use as claimed cannot be practiced with a materially different product, however the product, a megakaryocyte, has been previously disclosed in the art. Since the product is not allowable, restriction is proper between said method of making and method of using. Megakaryocytes can be obtained by simple purification techniques or by a variety of separation/differentiation methods known in the art. Thus, the method of use can be practiced with megakaryocytes obtained by different means without materially affecting treatment of a subject.

Inventions III and I-II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions of groups I-II are drawn to making and using unmodified megakaryocytes while the invention of group III is drawn to a method and composition of modified megakaryocytes. The methods of groups I-II do not result in a modified megakaryocyte, nor are modified megakaryocytes used in the methods of groups I-II. Modified megakaryocytes are materially different from unmodified megakaryocytes and may possess properties which would not be inherent to unmodified cells.

Inventions I-III and IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different

Art Unit: 1632

inventions are unrelated because group IV is drawn to platlets which is not encompassed by the megakaryocytes of groups I-III.

These inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter. Further, the search required are not co-extensive for each group and a complete search for one group would not be adequate for each of the remaining groups. Therefore, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Application/Control Number: 09/780,653 Page 5

Art Unit: 1632

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Joseph Woitach whose telephone number is (703)305-3732.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Reynolds, can be reached at (703)305-4051.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group analyst Dianiece Jacobs whose telephone number is (703) 308-2141.

Papers related to this application may be submitted by facsimile transmission. Papers should be faxed via the PTO Fax Center located in Crystal Mall 1. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CM1 Fax Center numbers are (703)308-4242 and (703)305-3014.

Joseph T. Woitach

Clar Wartand